Journal Pre-proof

Microbiome Therapeutics for Hepatic Encephalopathy

Patricia Bloom, MD, Elliot B. Tapper, MD, Vincent B. Young, MD PhD, Anna S. Lok, MD

PII: S0168-8278(21)01998-X

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2021.08.004

Reference: JHEPAT 8395

To appear in: Journal of Hepatology

Received Date: 17 May 2021
Revised Date: 20 July 2021
Accepted Date: 2 August 2021

Please cite this article as: Bloom P, Tapper EB, Young VB, Lok AS, Microbiome Therapeutics for Hepatic Encephalopathy, *Journal of Hepatology* (2021), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2021.08.004.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 European Association for the Study of the Liver. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.



Title: Microbiome Therapeutics for Hepatic Encephalopathy

Authors: Patricia Bloom MD¹, Elliot B. Tapper MD¹, Vincent B. Young MD PhD^{2,3}, Anna S. Lok

 MD^1

Institutional Affiliation:

¹ Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of

Michigan

² Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Infectious Disease, University of Michigan

³ Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Michigan

Corresponding author: Patricia Bloom MD; 1500 E Medical Center Dr, Ann Arbor, MI 48109; E:

ppbloom@med.umich.edu

Disclosure:

Conflicts of interest: Patricia Bloom serves as a consultant for Synlogic. ASL: no conflict. VBY

serves as a consultant to Vedanta, Bio-K+ International and Pantheryx. Elliot Tapper has served

as consultant for Axcella, Kaleido, Mallinckrodt, Novo Nordisk, Allergan, and Takeida. He has

received unrestricted grants from Valeant and Gilead.

Funding: Patricia Bloom receives funding from the American College of Gastroenterology (ACG

Junior Faculty Award) and the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD

Advanced Hepatology Award). VBY was funded by NIH Award Al124255. EBT is funded by

NIDDK K23 DK117055.

Author Contributions: PB and AL: conception and design; PB: writing original draft; ET, VY, AL:

critical revisions.

1

Abstract

Hepatic encephalopathy (HE) is a complication of cirrhosis characterized by neuropsychiatric and motor dysfunction. Microbiota-host interactions have an important role in HE pathogenesis. Therapies targeting microbial community composition and function have been explored for the treatment of HE. Prebiotics, probiotics and fecal microbiota transplant (FMT) have aimed to increase the abundance of potentially beneficial taxa, while antibiotics have aimed to decrease the abundance of potentially harmful taxa. Other microbiome therapeutics, including postbiotics and absorbents, have been used to target microbial products. Microbiome-targeted therapies for HE have had some success, notably lactulose and rifaximin, with early promise for probiotics and FMT. Microbiome therapeutics face several challenges in HE, including the resilience of the microbiome to sustainable change and unpredictable clinical outcomes from microbiota alterations. Future work in this space should focus on rigorous trial design, microbiome therapy selection, and a personalized approach to HE.

Key Points

- Alterations in shared host-microbiota metabolism, intestinal permeability, and host immune response have a role in HE pathogenesis.
- Potential targets for HE microbiome therapeutics include microbiota abundance, microbial products, intestinal barrier function, and host immune response.
- Lactulose and rifaximin influence intestinal microbiota and have had clinical success in HE,
 with early promise for probiotics and fecal microbiota transplant.
- Future research of microbiome-targeted therapies for HE should focus on patient and primary outcome selection, microbiome therapy selection, and a personalized therapeutic approach based on baseline enterotype and other patient factors.

Journal Pre-proof

Introduction

Hepatic encephalopathy (HE) is a complication of cirrhosis characterized by neuropsychiatric and motor dysfunction. Manifestations can range from subtle (minimal HE) to severe (overt HE) and even coma. HE is associated with considerable patient and caregiver burden, decreased quality of life, and poor survival.(1-3) HE therapeutics represent one of the clearest unmet needs in cirrhosis care, where at least 50% of patients on current optimal therapy have breakthrough episodes.

Emerging data closely link HE pathophysiology to the gut microbiome. The role of microbiota in HE therapeutics has undergone a revolution, shaped by several landmark trials and major advances in microbiology. (4-12) Novel sequencing and analytic methods have enabled the field to move from simply cataloging the gut ecosystem to understanding the complex interactions between gut microbiota members, and how they react to environmental factors and to therapies. In parallel, we now have results from new investigations of microbiome-targeted therapies for other gastrointestinal and neurological diseases, which influences our understanding of the potential for microbiome therapies in HE.

In this review, we will discuss the limitations of current therapies for HE and the potential for novel microbiome therapies to improve outcomes.

Pathogenesis of Hepatic Encephalopathy and Potential Therapeutic Targets

In health, the host and microbiota are connected by a shared physical space (the gut), but also by a shared metabolism.(13-15) There are numerous examples of the host supplying microbiota with life-sustaining nutrients, and separately the microbiota providing key metabolism services to the host. Key elements of protein, lipid, and carbohydrate metabolism are symbiotic between host and microbiota. One important example is that bacteria ferment non-digestible polysaccharides, provided by host diet, and produce short-chain fatty acids (SCFA). In turn, SCFA are an essential energy source for host colonic epithelium – they increase intestinal epithelial production of tight junction proteins and mucin, both of which contribute to barrier function. (16-18) Intestinal bacteria also play a central role in bile acid metabolism and separately in developing host epithelial immune response, both of which have been associated with intestinal barrier function. Bacterial products are not universally beneficial to the host, a notable example being lipopolysaccharide (LPS). However, bacterial products often are not absorbed into systemic circulation due to a robust intestinal barrier. In the case of ammonia, a product of bacterial urea and protein metabolism, the healthy liver detoxifies ammonia via the urea cycle, keeping circulating ammonia levels low.

In cirrhosis and HE, the shared metabolism between host and microbiota is altered (**Figure 1**). Patients with HE are depleted of SCFA-producing species, *Anaerostipes caccae*, *Bacteroides eggerthii*, and *Clostridial species*, and appear to have lower intestinal SCFA.(19) Intestinal bile acid concentrations are reduced in cirrhosis, as is microbiota-induced bile acid metabolism. (20-24) Finally, intestinal immune function is altered in advanced cirrhosis.(25) These changes to the host-microbiota relationship influence intestinal barrier function and permeability, enhancing

the translocation of neurotoxic factors. Investigation at the intersection of neurology and microbiology has identified several pathways that link microbiota to neuropsychiatric disease.(26) Altered bile acid signaling may impact blood-brain-barrier permeability and neuroinflammation.(27) In HE, ammonia was implicated early on as one such molecule with neurotoxic effects. In cirrhosis, portosystemic shunting and impaired hepatic ammonia metabolism leads to increased serum ammonia levels, with additional contribution from renal and muscle sources. (28) Ammonia is able to cross the blood-brain-barrier and enter astrocytes where it is converted to glutamine which acts as an osmole, causing astrocyte swelling, oxidative stress, cellular dysfunction and ultimately neurological deficits. (29) Streptococcus salivarius has been identified as a gut bacterial species that produces ammonia and is more abundant in patients with minimal HE than those without.(30) Overt HE episodes are characterized by dramatic changes to gut microbiota composition, alongside changes in microbiota-mediated ammonia metabolism.(31) Systemic inflammation has also been established as a major contributing factor to HE pathogenesis, (32, 33) synergizing with ammonia to enhance neurotoxicity via increased blood-brain-barrier permeability and cerebral oxidative stress.(34) Despite advances in recent decades, there are likely additional unidentified mediators influencing the relationship between microbiota, intestinal barrier, and the brain in HE.

Given the role of microbiota-host interactions in the pathogenesis of HE, therapies targeting microbial community composition and function have been explored. A variety of approaches have been investigated, including directly targeting the microbiota – either by increasing the abundance of beneficial taxa or decreasing harmful taxa (**Table 1**). Other approaches have

targeted the products of microbiota. Yet unstudied, future therapies may directly target host intestinal barrier function and immune regulation. Precision medicine may be on the horizon for HE, wherein therapies target specific host or microbiota deficits in a patient-specific fashion.

Microbe-Targeted Therapies: Increase Potentially Beneficial Taxa

Prebiotics

Prebiotics are substrates selectively utilized by host microorganisms, conferring a health benefit.(35) Prebiotics are most often non-absorbable carbohydrates, able to be fermented by luminal bacteria.(13) Fermentation of prebiotics leads to increased abundance of beneficial taxa that can utilize these substrates, produce SCFAs, and reduce pH in the intestinal lumen.(36) Increased biomass of beneficial taxa reduces available nutrients for invading microbial pathogens.(13) The reduced pH caused by prebiotics also inhibits pathogen growth. In vitro and human studies showed that prebiotics improve intestinal barrier function by stimulating mucus-producing goblet cells, augmenting tight junction assembly, and mitigating inflammation.(37-40) Lactulose, a non-absorbable disaccharide and a prebiotic, is the primary therapy for HE. While the literature is not uniform on its actions, it appears that lactulose's benefits in HE are mediated through changes in intestinal microbes, likely a result of its pH-lowering effect and positive pressure on beneficial taxa.

Lactulose is an effective treatment for HE. A Cochrane review including 38 randomized controlled trials of non-absorbable disaccharides found a beneficial effect on HE (RR 0.58, 95% CI 0.50 to 0.69).(7) A more recent network meta-analysis of 25 trials found that when comparing lactulose,

of minimal HE, lactulose was the only agent able to meet all three endpoints: reverse minimal HE, prevent overt HE, and improve quality of life.(9) However, many patients experience breakthrough overt HE episodes while on lactulose.(41)

Early culture-based studies demonstrated that lactulose promotes the growth of Lactobacillus and Bifidobacteria, beneficial taxa. (42-44) Lactulose fermentation by these beneficial taxa requires increased bacterial amino acid synthesis using ammonia as the substrate, leading to reduced luminal ammonia concentrations. (45-48) Increased amino acid synthesis with lactulose does not occur in germ free rats, supporting the role of bacteria in this process. (49) Lactulose transits through the small intestine largely unchanged and is fermented by colonic bacteria (28, 50) leading to SCFA production and subsequent colonic acidification. (44) In patients with minimal HE, lactulose decreases bacterial DNA in the serum and improves neurocognitive test scores, presumably through changes to bacterial composition and improved intestinal permeability – the latter of which may be a result of increased SCFA production.(51) In the setting of colonic acidification from SCFAs, ammonia production from gram-negative bacteria decreases, likely reflecting diminished metabolic activity as well as growth inhibition of those bacteria. (45) A recent multicenter study of lactulose for minimal HE found no significant change in microbial composition (using 16s rRNA sequencing); however, those with a clinical response experienced a significant decrease in certain Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, and Proteobacteria relative to non-responders.(52) Lactulose may reduce serum ammonia through additional mechanisms, including trapping ammonium ions in the colon, (16, 25, 53). Novel prebiotics such

as synthetic glycans in development appear to be more potent than lactulose in lowering ammonia production; further studies will be required to determine their efficacy in treating HE.(54)

Probiotics

Probiotics are living microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host. (55) For decades there has been interest in using probiotics to treat HE, ranging from yogurts to probiotic powders to encapsulated probiotic strains. (4, 56-58) There are three evidence-based mechanisms by which probiotics may improve HE: improving intestinal barrier function, immune modulation, and decreasing portal hypertension. First, probiotics in HE may enhance tight junction protein production or integrity, thus improving intestinal barrier function and reducing translocation of bacterial products into systemic circulation. In a murine model of colitis, VSL#3 (a combination of 8 bacterial strains) and separately Escherichia coli Nissle 1917 prevented an increase in intestinal permeability by maintaining tight junction expression and suppressing apoptosis. (59, 60) Probiotics in rodent models of alcohol liver disease and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis were also found to reduce serum LPS levels and to increase tight junction expression. (61-63) Furthermore, a randomized trial of Lactobacillus GG in patients with minimal HE reduced serum LPS.(4) Second, probiotics interact with the host intestinal epithelium, and have an established role in immune modulation. (13, 64) Systemic inflammation enhances the cerebral effect of ammonia and exacerbates HE symptoms. (32, 33) One trial of VSL#3 in patients with recent overt HE found that the probiotic reduced serum cytokines (TNF- α , IL1 β , and IL-6 levels) in the subgroup (24%) of patients who completed 24 week of follow-up.(8) Part of the immune regulation provided by probiotics may be specific to neutrophil function. Chronic neutrophil activation may lead to neutrophil exhaustion in cirrhosis, leaving patients vulnerable to infection and poor survival. Infection is a very common precipitant of HE. Supplementation with a probiotic mixture of *Bifidobacterium*, *Lactobacillus*, and *Lactococcus* strains in patients with cirrhosis compared to placebo led to increased neutrophil production of reactive oxygen species, thus restoring neutrophil function(58) – a benefit also seen in studies of *Lactobacillus casei* Shirota as well as with a probiotic mixture of *Bifidobacterium*, *Lactobacillus*, and *Streptococcus*.(10, 65) Third, probiotics may reduce portal hypertension. One study found that VSL#3 decreased serum and hepatic vein TNF-α levels in patients with large esophageal varices, and provided additional reduction in hepatic venous pressure gradient beyond propranolol monotherapy.(66) Probiotics additionally produce organic acids, antimicrobial compounds, and bile salt hydrolases – mechanisms that should be explored in future studies of probiotics for HE.(13)

Two recent large meta-analyses found that probiotics improve HE symptoms, reverse minimal HE, led to fewer episodes of overt HE, and lower ammonia levels, though evidence is low to moderate quality as all but 2 trials are at high risk of bias.(9, 11) In addition, probiotics do not impact patient quality of life or mortality, and were not superior to lactulose for all outcomes. Clinical trial data of probiotics for HE is challenging to synthesize because each trial uses different probiotic strains.(67) Furthermore, the low colony forming units in most commercial probiotic formulations limits optimism that probiotics are sufficient to overtake the resident microbial community structure of cirrhosis and HE.(16)

Bacterial genomes can be manipulated by modern genetic tools for therapeutic purposes. (68) SYNB1020 is an *E. coli* Nissle 1917 strain genetically engineered to convert ammonia to 1-arginine. (69) The engineered probiotic successfully reduced ammonia levels in preclinical studies and was well tolerated in a phase 1 study. However, according to reporting on ClinicalTrials.gov, SYNB1020 did not lower blood ammonia levels in patients with cirrhosis. This should not be taken as a failure of all engineered probiotics for HE; other engineered probiotics could impact different aspects of HE pathogenesis, including immune regulation or intestinal barrier integrity.

Fecal Microbiota Transplant

Fecal microbiota transplant (FMT) is the transfer of processed stool from a healthy donor to a recipient. Three small trials have investigated FMT for the treatment of HE, with early evidence suggesting a potential clinical benefit.(5, 6, 70) All three trials enrolled patients with a history of overt HE on lactulose therapy and, in many cases, rifaximin. The first trial randomized patients to standard of care vs. broad-spectrum antibiotics followed by a single FMT enema, and the second trial by the same study team (Bajaj et al.) randomized patients to one day of oral FMT capsules vs. placebo capsules.(5, 6) The FMT in both trials was derived from the same donor. The primary outcome of these two trials evaluated the safety of FMT and found no safety concerns, aside from a reversible and small increase in model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score after broad spectrum antibiotics. The patients who received antibiotics and an FMT enema improved on two validated cognitive tests and had fewer episodes of overt HE during long-term follow-up as compared to the standard of care arm.(5, 71) Antibiotics were given prior to FMT to decrease

host bacterial burden and to enable FMT colonization, (72-75) and were not given to the control group, limiting the ability to interpret the relative contributions of antibiotics and FMT in cognitive improvement. Lack of blinding also introduces observer bias. In the second trial by Bajaj et al., the patients who received oral FMT capsules improved in one cognitive test (EncephalApp, a version of the Stroop test) but not in another cognitive test (psychometric HE score).(6) There was no difference in overt HE episodes during follow-up of 5 months. The third pilot trial is our open-label trial of 5 doses of oral FMT capsules over 3 weeks. Preliminary results suggest improvement in the psychometric HE score and not in EncephalApp one week after completing 5 days of oral FMT capsules (administered over 3 weeks).(70) In this trial, FMT transmitted extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing Escherichia coli to one recipient, despite following FDA-approved donor screening protocols.(76) Overall, the studies performed to evaluate FMT to treat HE are small and designed to evaluate safety, not efficacy. Clinical efficacy outcomes were mixed, though there were some promising signals. A single dose of FMT from one donor was used in the two published trials, and it is possible that benefit may vary by donor and additional doses of FMT may be necessary. (77) Larger trials powered to detect clinical improvement in HE using different FMT dosing strategies are needed.

There are several potential mechanisms by which FMT may impact the pathogenesis of HE: SCFA production, changes to microbiome community structure, bile acid metabolism, and reduced ammonia production (**Figure 2**). Results of the Bajaj et al. FMT enema trial are difficult to interpret due to antibiotic use only in the FMT arm: changes in microbial diversity and taxa abundance changed primarily with antibiotic exposure, and returned to pre-antibiotic levels after

FMT.(5) However, there was a clear increase in Ruminococcaceae abundance from baseline to post-FMT. Ruminococcaceae was heavily enriched in the donor, and is known to produce SCFA, which in turn impacts intestinal barrier function - a possible mechanism by which these FMT enemas improved clinical outcomes.(5) However, this increase in Ruminococcaceae disappeared in long-term follow up, despite ongoing improvement in HE clinical outcomes. (71) In an elegant mouse study, the bacteria itself and not a sterile supernatant led to cognitive improvement after FMT suggesting that the benefits of FMT in HE are not simply a result of bacterial products, but there is some influence of the bacteria themselves, perhaps on microbiome community composition and function. (78) In the second trial by Bajaj et al. of FMT for HE, oral FMT capsules did not change alpha diversity in stool samples, but did increase diversity of the duodenal mucosal microbiome suggesting an impact in the more proximal bowel.(6) There was also an increase in duodenal Ruminococcaceae and Bifidobacteriacceae (generally beneficial taxa) and a decrease in Veillonellaceae after FMT capsules, though duodenal samples were not studied in the control group so it is unknown if these changes may be due to natural fluctuation. In conjunction with these microbial changes, patients who received FMT had an increase in duodenal antimicrobial protein DefA5, increase in tight junction protein E-cadherin CDH expression, and a decrease in IL-6 expression. LPS-binding protein also decreased after FMT, suggesting that oral FMT capsules may be changing duodenal microbial community structure, influencing several aspects of small intestinal barrier function, and decreasing translocation of bacterial products.(6) Correlation network analysis showed that certain taxa were linked with improved immunomodulatory milieu and with cognitive test performance. Those taxa have also been previously associated with decreased inflammation and strengthened intestinal barrier

function, in patients with and without liver disease.(79) Post-hoc analysis also revealed an

increase in secondary bile acids after FMT for HE.(79) Patients with cirrhosis have a diminished

ability to produce secondary bile acids, likely due to a relative reduction in Clostridial species.

Secondary bile acids are associated with protection from pathogenic organisms and impact

intestinal barrier function. Therefore, FMT may exert therapeutic effect by influencing bile acid

metabolism and, as a result, intestinal barrier function. Finally, the PROFIT trial, a placebo-

controlled trial of jejunal FMT delivery in patients with cirrhosis (not all had prior HE) has reported

a reduction in serum ammonia levels with FMT through as yet unknown mechanisms. (80, 81)

Treating hyperammonemia is another potential therapeutic mechanism of FMT for HE.

Synbiotics

Synbiotics are probiotics and prebiotics combined into a single therapy. The hope for such

combined products is that prebiotics will enhance the efficacy of probiotics, though evidence of

synergism is lacking.(37) One small single-center trial showed cognitive benefit and decreased

serum ammonia with a synbiotic (Bifidobacterium longum and fructo-oligosaccharide).(82) In a

trial of patients with minimal HE, both a synbiotic and a prebiotic alone reversed minimal HE in

half of participants, without clear superiority of synbiotic over prebiotic alone. (83) Patients who

received the synbiotic or prebiotic alone developed acidified fecal contents, decreased venous

ammonia levels, serum LPS levels, and E. coli fecal abundance. The clinical benefits of synbiotics

compared to prebiotics and probiotics used alone remains to be confirmed.

Microbe-Targeted Therapy: Decrease Potentially Harmful Taxa

14

Antibiotics

Antibiotics have been proposed to treat HE, as a method to deplete intestinal taxa that produce neurotoxins (namely ammonia), increase intestinal permeability, and diminish host systemic immune response. Certain antibiotics may selectively suppress harmful taxa, while allowing potentially beneficial taxa to survive and even proliferate. Rifaximin is approved in the United States and Europe to reduce the risk of recurrent overt HE. Several randomized controlled trials have found that rifaximin markedly reduces the risk of recurrent overt HE.(41, 84) Rifaximin also improves cognition and quality of life for patients with minimal HE.(85, 86) While the clinical benefits of rifaximin are undisputed, its mechanisms of action in HE are less clear and likely multifactorial.

Rifaximin inhibits bacterial RNA synthesis and has broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity, notably for pathogenic bacteria like enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*, *Shigella*, and *Salmonella*.(87, 88) However, several small studies in patients with minimal HE suggest that rifaximin exerts little influence on microbial community composition.(85, 89-91) Since these studies used 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing methods, effect of rifaximin on changes to sub-taxa like species or strains may have been missed. Some effects from microbiome therapies are species and even strain specific.(92) There are several reasons to suspect that rifaximin's benefit in HE may be a result of relevant microbial composition changes. First, rifaximin changes the ratio of secondary to primary bile acids, which has implications for microbiota composition.(89) Second, rifaximin has been shown in other populations to change microbial community abundance and structure. In a visceral hyperalgesia rat model, rifaximin decreased the total small bowel bacterial burden,

In irritable bowel syndrome and Crohn's colitis, rifaximin increased abundance of *Bifidobacterium* and *Faecalibacterium* prausnitzii, known beneficial taxa, and increased production of SCFAs.(94, 95) While family and genus-level changes have not been detected with rifaximin treatment for HE, species and strain-level changes have yet to be explored.

Rifaximin significantly lowers serum LPS levels in humans and animal models, which may be the result of changes in microbiome composition (i.e. less LPS produced) or a result of decreased LPS translocation across the intestinal barrier. (85, 89) Rifaximin decreases cytokine expression and intestinal inflammation, and simultaneously increases tight junction protein expression—all of which contributes to barrier function. (89, 96-98) Rifaximin reduces adherence of bacteria to the gut wall and decreases bacterial virulence, two potential mechanisms reducing translocation. (99-101) Rifaximin also influences bacterial metabolism. Bajaj and colleagues found that 8 weeks of treatment with rifaximin led to an increase in bacterial carbohydrate and lipid metabolism, resulting in an increase in patients' serum long-chain and unsaturated fatty acid levels. (85) Some of these fatty acids have been shown to increase in the brain with probiotic supplementation and are capable of improving cognitive processes like learning and memory. (92) Finally, data are mixed with regards to rifaximin's impact on ammonia levels; (89, 101-103) however, one notable study of germ-free mice found a bacteria-independent mechanism for rifaximin to reduce intestinal ammonia production: via intestinal glutaminase. (89) Overall, available evidence suggests that rifaximin may enhance intestinal barrier function, ameliorate microbiome-induced

inflammatory dysregulation, decrease translocation of bacterial products, and influence gut bacterial metabolism in a way that may improve patient cognitive function.

To date, no antibiotics have demonstrated superior or even comparable efficacy and safety to rifaximin for HE.(104-108) Rifaximin's minimal systemic absorption accounts for its excellent tolerability and safety profile.(109-111) With regards to efficacy, rifaximin may selectively deplete harmful taxa while allowing beneficial taxa to survive and increase metabolic activities compared to other antibiotics tested for HE.

Bacteriophages

Bacteriophages are viruses which specifically target bacteria. The potential impact of bacteriophages in hepatology was recently highlighted when a bacteriophage was used to target and eliminate cytolysin-producing *Enterococcus faecalis*, a species playing a key pathogenic role in alcohol-associated hepatitis.(112) Bajaj and colleagues have recently demonstrated that bacteriophage abundance varies by MELD score, HE status, and HE treatment status, though bacteria composition seemed more relevant to clinical outcomes than bacteriophage composition.(113) In particular, phages for *Streptococcal* species seemed the most influenced by disease severity and rifaximin therapy, which is notable given that many of those species are urease-producing and therefore ammonia-generating.

Therapy Targeting Microbial Products

Postbiotics

Postbiotics is a term used to describe bioactive products of beneficial bacteria. SCFAs are the main postbiotics of interest in HE. SCFAs are produced by bacterial fermentation of non-digestible polysaccharides and are an essential energy source for colonic epithelium and contribute to barrier function.(17) They also prime intestinal epithelium to respond to bacterial products, induce tolerance to commensals, and regulate immune response.(25, 114-116)

Advanced liver disease and HE are associated with reduced intestinal SCFA levels.(19) In a study of hepatic vein and peripheral blood sampled during transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt placement, a moderate inverse correlation between butyrate and MELD score in both blood sources was observed. Stool SCFA content is also inversely related to MELD score.(19) SCFAs have not been directly tested as a treatment for HE. The most common way to experimentally increase SCFA in the intestinal lumen is by encouraging growth of bacterial species producing SCFAs, usually with prebiotics. Not all SCFA types and delivery modalities have the same or even desirable effects.(17, 18, 117-121) For example, butyrogenic bacteria are associated with steroid-refractory graft-versus-host disease, possibly through butyrate-induced inhibition of colonic stem cells.(122) Further research is needed to better understand which SCFAs are beneficial for HE and the best administration strategy.

Absorbents

For the last decade there has been interest in ingestible devices which can absorb undesirable substances in the gut lumen, thus limiting their intestinal absorption.(53) AST-120 is one such device: a carbon bead with pores small enough to bind microscopic molecules, including

ammonia. AST-120 was able to decrease serum ammonia concentrations and reduce brain edema in a rat model; however, it did not produce clinical benefit in patients with HE.(123, 124) Yaq-001, another absorbent carbon bead, is able to absorb larger molecules including LPS. It appears that Yaq-001 had a myriad of effects in a rat model: reduced LPS levels, markers of liver and systemic inflammation, portal hypertension, and HE, and also microbiome changes.(53) Unfortunately, the phase 1 trial of Yaq-001 had to be halted due to the COVID-19 pandemic and results are not yet available.(125) Despite the challenges of these early products, there remains optimism for methods that remove potentially toxic bacterial products in the gut lumen.

Challenges

One major obstacle for microbiome therapies for HE is the resilience of the human adult microbiome. The human microbiome is constantly exposed to external challenges with diet, medications, and numerous host factors, but it has an incredible ability to restore its equilibrium after perturbation – even if that microbial community structure is associated with disease.(126) It is possible that the disease state (in this case, cirrhosis) exerts constant pressure on the microbiome, promoting overgrowth of potentially harmful taxa while limiting colonization of beneficial taxa. In contrast to *C. difficile* colitis, microbiome therapies for HE will likely need to be administered as recurrent courses or continuously instead of a single short course.

Another related challenge in using microbiome-based therapeutics is that the gut-liver ecosystem is saturated with connections.(126) Every functional pathway in the gut and liver involves numerous interconnected components such that if one element changes, there are likely many

compensatory mechanisms. Thus, an impact on one microbiome component can have unpredicted ripple effects or no effect at all.

One challenge with antibiotics as a therapy for HE is the potential to promote multi-drug resistance. The prevalence of multi-drug resistant bacteria has grown considerably in patients with cirrhosis in the last decade, from 29% of infections to 38% in a large European cohort.(127) In a study of 77 patients with cirrhosis starting spontaneous bacterial peritonitis prophylaxis, nearly 50% carried multi-drug resistant organisms prior to antibiotic initiation, and at 180 days of prophylaxis this prevalence increased to 74%.(128) Rifaximin, the best validated antibiotic for HE, does not appear to induce bacterial resistance and actually has bactericidal activity against many multi-drug resistant bacteria.(91, 129) However, as we continue to use antibiotics to treat HE, we must increasingly keep in mind the problem of resistance and balance benefit-to-harm.

FMT has its own specific set of challenges (**Figure 3**). The central limitation of FMT is an inherent lack of certainty about what is administered. FMT originates from the stool of a healthy individual, and as such there will be changes over time within the same donor and across donors.(130) Despite extensive testing outlined by FDA-approved protocols, FMT has recently led to infections by ESBL-producing *E. coli*, Shigatoxin-producing *E. coli*, and enteropathogenic *E. coli*.(76, 131, 132) In all cases, donor stool was extensively screened for pathogens and in some cases for the ultimate infectious culprit, thus highlighting the challenges in identifying pathogens in FMT material. In at least one of these cases, the antimicrobial resistance patterns differed between the FMT *E. coli* and the recipient's *E. coli*, despite genetic testing confirming they were

identical strains. (76) The presence of divergent antibiograms with clonal bacteria raises the question of whether prior FMT studies have underestimated the risk of infection related to FMT. While FMT has been found in numerous studies to be safe, there remains some risk of infection.(133) In the COVID-19 era, potentially infectious SARS-CoV-2 is present in the stool of infected individuals (134) and may be transmitted from asymptomatic carriers. While potential donors could be screened by symptoms and nasopharyngeal swab, those measures do not have perfect sensitivity and no stool-based SARS-CoV-2 test has been approved for clinical use. (135, 136) Furthermore, some studies suggest that virus shedding in stool may outlast virus detection in nasopharyngeal swabs. (137) As the list of required donor tests appropriately grows in length, the practical limitations of FMT also grow. Prior to COVID-19 and some recent additions to testing, the cost of finding a suitable FMT donor was estimated at \$15,190.(138) There are other logistical challenges with using FMT to treat HE including identification of appropriate donors, standardization of the product, and sustainability of supply. There remain significant unanswered scientific questions as well. Each trial of FMT for HE or cirrhosis has used different route, quantity and timing of FMT administration. At this time, we do not know the best FMT dosing regimen or whether patients will require repeat dosing. In addition, the ideal donor for FMT has not been defined. Studies outside of *C. difficile* infection, in ulcerative colitis for example, have found that only one in six donors achieve desired clinical endpoints. (139, 140) Given the uncertainty around the mechanism of FMT in treating HE, it is hard to determine criteria for the ideal donor. Optimal donor selection may require analysis of microbiota function, and not just composition. Finally, most FMT is aerobically prepared, and thus anaerobes are not administered. Anaerobically prepared FMT was found to induce remission in patients with ulcerative colitis, after several

failed trials with aerobically prepared FMT, suggesting a potential therapeutic benefit of the anaerobic components.(141) Many probiotics, such as *Faecalibacterium prausnitzi*, are lost with aerobic stool processing and preserved with anaerobic processing.(142) Therefore, there may be benefits to anaerobically prepared FMT in HE, beyond what has been found with aerobic preparations.

Future Directions

Microbiome-targeted therapies for HE have had some success, namely lactulose and rifaximin, with early promise for probiotics and FMT. Despite available therapies, many patients with HE suffer from persistent symptoms and many are unable to tolerate lactulose. Future work in this space should focus on trial design, microbiome therapy selection, and a personalized approach to HE (Table 2).

Future trials should be designed to target the highest-need populations as well as focus on clinically relevant primary outcomes. Trials should be designed with a translational component, to allow for gaps in our mechanistic knowledge to be closed. Practices of rigorous and reproducible research should be applied, including those which minimize bias and achieve sufficient power to assess clinical efficacy. Microbiome therapy selection is also critical. Microbiome therapies have varying impact throughout the intestines and colon. For example, an FMT enema impacts the distal colon while orally administered FMT capsules have a more dispersed effect. Further studies are needed to identify which segment of bowel has the greatest barrier function impairment in cirrhosis and is most responsible for HE, as well as the impact of

microbiome therapies on intestinal permeability.(143) Additional studies of FMT including larger cohorts are needed to determine efficacy, ideal dosing regimen, favorable donor characteristics, benefit of anaerobic preparation, duration of benefit, and predictors of response. Living biotherapeutic products with a larger biomass than traditional commercial probiotics and with known metabolic effects (similar to FMT) are being studied in *C. difficile* infection and inflammatory bowel disease. The therapies that produce metabolites known to be deficient in HE patients, such as SCFAs and secondary bile acids, should be trialed for HE. Finally, the efficacy of each microbiome therapy may depend on a patient's existing microbiome community, or enterotype. A personalized approach to microbiome therapy, based on baseline community structure and function, may yield the most clinical success.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: The pathogenic mechanisms of hepatic encephalopathy. Changes to short-chain fatty acid (SCFA), secondary bile acid, tight junction protein, and mucus production contribute to increased intestinal permeability. Intestinal bacterial products, including ammonia and lipopolysaccharide (LPS), are able to traverse the epithelial membrane and bypass the liver due to hepatic dysfunction and portosystemic shunting. They enter systemic circulation and reach the brain, where ammonia enters astrocytes and leads to neurotoxicity.

Figure 2: Fecal microbiota transplant (FMT) for hepatic encephalopathy (HE): from bench to bedside. FMT has multiple potential therapeutic mechanisms in HE, including several which improve intestinal barrier function: increasing short-chain fatty acid (SCFA), secondary bile acid, tight junction protein, and antimicrobial peptide production. By changing microbial community structure, ammonia and endotoxin production and translocation decreases. At the bedside, several small trials have suggested improved cognition and fewer overt HE episodes with FMT.

<u>Figure 3</u>: The strengths, challenges, weaknesses, and future directions of fecal microbiota transplant (FMT) for hepatic encephalopathy (HE).

References

- 1. Bajaj JS; O'Leary JG; Tandon P; Wong F; Garcia-Tsao G; Kamath PS, et al. Hepatic Encephalopathy Is Associated With Mortality in Patients With Cirrhosis Independent of Other Extrahepatic Organ Failures. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2017;15(4):565-74.e4.
- 2. Rabiee A; Ximenes RO; Nikayin S; Hickner A; Juthani P; Rosen RH, et al. Factors associated with health-related quality of life in patients with cirrhosis: a systematic review. Liver Int. 2020.
- 3. Tapper EB; Aberasturi D; Zhao Z; Hsu CY; Parikh ND. Outcomes after hepatic encephalopathy in population-based cohorts of patients with cirrhosis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2020;51(12):1397-405.
- 4. Bajaj JS; Heuman DM; Hylemon PB; Sanyal AJ; Puri P; Sterling RK, et al. Randomised clinical trial: Lactobacillus GG modulates gut microbiome, metabolome and endotoxemia in patients with cirrhosis. Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics. 2014;39(10):1113-25.
- 5. Bajaj JS; Kassam Z; Fagan A; Gavis EA; Liu E; Cox IJ, et al. Fecal Microbiota Transplant from a Rational Stool Donor Improves Hepatic Encephalopathy: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Hepatology (Baltimore, Md). 2017.
- 6. Bajaj JS; Salzman NH; Acharya C; Sterling RK; White MB; Gavis EA, et al. Fecal Microbial Transplant Capsules Are Safe in Hepatic Encephalopathy: A Phase 1, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial. Hepatology (Baltimore, Md). 2019;70(5):1690-703.
- 7. Gluud LL; Vilstrup H; Morgan MY. Non-absorbable disaccharides versus placebo/no intervention and lactulose versus lactitol for the prevention and treatment of hepatic encephalopathy in people with cirrhosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016;2016(5):Cd003044.
- 8. Dhiman RK; Rana B; Agrawal S; Garg A; Chopra M; Thumburu KK, et al. Probiotic VSL#3 reduces liver disease severity and hospitalization in patients with cirrhosis: a randomized, controlled trial. Gastroenterology. 2014;147(6):1327-37.e3.
- 9. Dhiman RK; Thumburu KK; Verma N; Chopra M; Rathi S; Dutta U, et al. Comparative Efficacy of Treatment Options for Minimal Hepatic Encephalopathy: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2020;18(4):800-12.e25.
- 10. Román E; Nieto JC; Gely C; Vidal S; Pozuelo M; Poca M, et al. Effect of a Multistrain Probiotic on Cognitive Function and Risk of Falls in Patients With Cirrhosis: A Randomized Trial. Hepatol Commun. 2019;3(5):632-45.
- 11. Dalal R; McGee RG; Riordan SM; Webster AC. Probiotics for people with hepatic encephalopathy. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2017;2:CD008716.
- 12. Zhao LN; Yu T; Lan SY; Hou JT; Zhang ZZ; Wang SS, et al. Probiotics can improve the clinical outcomes of hepatic encephalopathy: An update meta-analysis. Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol. 2015;39(6):674-82.
- 13. Sanders ME; Merenstein DJ; Reid G; Gibson GR; Rastall RA. Probiotics and prebiotics in intestinal health and disease: from biology to the clinic. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2019;16(10):605-16.
- 14. Visconti A; Le Roy CI; Rosa F; Rossi N; Martin TC; Mohney RP, et al. Interplay between the human gut microbiome and host metabolism. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):4505.
- 15. Asnicar F; Berry SE; Valdes AM; Nguyen LH; Piccinno G; Drew DA, et al. Microbiome connections with host metabolism and habitual diet from 1,098 deeply phenotyped individuals. Nat Med. 2021;27(2):321-32.

- 16. Woodhouse CA; Patel VC; Singanayagam A; Shawcross DL. Review article: the gut microbiome as a therapeutic target in the pathogenesis and treatment of chronic liver disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2018;47(2):192-202.
- 17. Brahe LK; Astrup A; Larsen LH. Is butyrate the link between diet, intestinal microbiota and obesity-related metabolic diseases? Obes Rev. 2013;14(12):950-9.
- 18. Hamer HM; Jonkers D; Venema K; Vanhoutvin S; Troost FJ; Brummer RJ. Review article: the role of butyrate on colonic function. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2008;27(2):104-19.
- 19. Bloom PP; Luévano JM, Jr.; Miller KJ; Chung RT. Deep stool microbiome analysis in cirrhosis reveals an association between short-chain fatty acids and hepatic encephalopathy. Ann Hepatol. 2021;25:100333.
- 20. Acharya C; Bajaj JS. Chronic Liver Diseases and the Microbiome-Translating Our Knowledge of Gut Microbiota to Management of Chronic Liver Disease. Gastroenterology. 2021;160(2):556-72.
- 21. Úbeda M; Lario M; Muñoz L; Borrero MJ; Rodríguez-Serrano M; Sánchez-Díaz AM, et al. Obeticholic acid reduces bacterial translocation and inhibits intestinal inflammation in cirrhotic rats. J Hepatol. 2016;64(5):1049-57.
- 22. Inagaki T; Moschetta A; Lee YK; Peng L; Zhao G; Downes M, et al. Regulation of antibacterial defense in the small intestine by the nuclear bile acid receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006;103(10):3920-5.
- 23. Gadaleta RM; van Erpecum KJ; Oldenburg B; Willemsen EC; Renooij W; Murzilli S, et al. Farnesoid X receptor activation inhibits inflammation and preserves the intestinal barrier in inflammatory bowel disease. Gut. 2011;60(4):463-72.
- 24. Islam KB; Fukiya S; Hagio M; Fujii N; Ishizuka S; Ooka T, et al. Bile acid is a host factor that regulates the composition of the cecal microbiota in rats. Gastroenterology. 2011;141(5):1773-81.
- 25. Tranah TH; Edwards LA; Schnabl B; Shawcross DL. Targeting the gut-liver-immune axis to treat cirrhosis. Gut. 2020.
- 26. Skolnick SD; Greig NH. Microbes and Monoamines: Potential Neuropsychiatric Consequences of Dysbiosis. Trends Neurosci. 2019;42(3):151-63.
- 27. DeMorrow S. Bile Acids in Hepatic Encephalopathy. J Clin Exp Hepatol. 2019;9(1):117-24.
- 28. Levitt MD; Levitt DG. Use Of Quantitative Modelling To Elucidate The Roles Of The Liver, Gut, Kidney, And Muscle In Ammonia Homeostasis And How Lactulose And Rifaximin Alter This Homeostasis. Int J Gen Med. 2019;12:367-80.
- 29. Jaffe A; Lim JK; Jakab SS. Pathophysiology of Hepatic Encephalopathy. Clin Liver Dis. 2020;24(2):175-88.
- 30. Zhang Z; Zhai H; Geng J; Yu R; Ren H; Fan H, et al. Large-scale survey of gut microbiota associated with MHE Via 16S rRNA-based pyrosequencing. The American Journal of Gastroenterology. 2013;108(10):1601-11.
- 31. Sung CM; Lin YF; Chen KF; Ke HM; Huang HY; Gong YN, et al. Predicting Clinical Outcomes of Cirrhosis Patients With Hepatic Encephalopathy From the Fecal Microbiome. Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2019;8(2):301-18.e2.
- 32. Shawcross DL; Davies NA; Williams R; Jalan R. Systemic inflammatory response exacerbates the neuropsychological effects of induced hyperammonemia in cirrhosis. Journal of hepatology. 2004;40(2):247-54.

- 33. Shawcross DL; Sharifi Y; Canavan JB; Yeoman AD; Abeles RD; Taylor NJ, et al. Infection and systemic inflammation, not ammonia, are associated with Grade 3/4 hepatic encephalopathy, but not mortality in cirrhosis. J Hepatol. 2011;54(4):640-9.
- 34. Aldridge DR; Tranah EJ; Shawcross DL. Pathogenesis of hepatic encephalopathy: role of ammonia and systemic inflammation. J Clin Exp Hepatol. 2015;5(Suppl 1):S7-s20.
- 35. Gibson GR; Hutkins R; Sanders ME; Prescott SL; Reimer RA; Salminen SJ, et al. Expert consensus document: The International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics (ISAPP) consensus statement on the definition and scope of prebiotics. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2017;14(8):491-502.
- 36. Vulevic J; Juric A; Walton GE; Claus SP; Tzortzis G; Toward RE, et al. Influence of galactooligosaccharide mixture (B-GOS) on gut microbiota, immune parameters and metabonomics in elderly persons. Br J Nutr. 2015;114(4):586-95.
- 37. Krumbeck JA; Rasmussen HE; Hutkins RW; Clarke J; Shawron K; Keshavarzian A, et al. Probiotic Bifidobacterium strains and galactooligosaccharides improve intestinal barrier function in obese adults but show no synergism when used together as synbiotics. Microbiome. 2018;6(1):121.
- 38. Bhatia S; Prabhu PN; Benefiel AC; Miller MJ; Chow J; Davis SR, et al. Galactooligosaccharides may directly enhance intestinal barrier function through the modulation of goblet cells. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2015;59(3):566-73.
- 39. Akbari P; Fink-Gremmels J; Willems R; Difilippo E; Schols HA; Schoterman MHC, et al. Characterizing microbiota-independent effects of oligosaccharides on intestinal epithelial cells: insight into the role of structure and size: Structure-activity relationships of non-digestible oligosaccharides. Eur J Nutr. 2017;56(5):1919-30.
- 40. Yan AW; Fouts DE; Brandl J; Stärkel P; Torralba M; Schott E, et al. Enteric dysbiosis associated with a mouse model of alcoholic liver disease. Hepatology. 2011;53(1):96-105.
- 41. Bass NM; Mullen KD; Sanyal A; Poordad F; Neff G; Leevy CB, et al. Rifaximin treatment in hepatic encephalopathy. The New England journal of medicine. 2010;362(12):1071-81.
- 42. Patterson JA; Burkholder KM. Application of prebiotics and probiotics in poultry production. Poult Sci. 2003;82(4):627-31.
- 43. Cho JH; Kim IH. Effects of lactulose supplementation on performance, blood profiles, excreta microbial shedding of Lactobacillus and Escherichia coli, relative organ weight and excreta noxious gas contents in broilers. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berl). 2014;98(3):424-30.
- 44. Salminen S; Salminen E. Lactulose, lactic acid bacteria, intestinal microecology and mucosal protection. Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl. 1997;222:45-8.
- 45. Vince AJ; Burridge SM. Ammonia production by intestinal bacteria: the effects of lactose, lactulose and glucose. J Med Microbiol. 1980;13(2):177-91.
- 46. Vince AJ; McNeil NI; Wager JD; Wrong OM. The effect of lactulose, pectin, arabinogalactan and cellulose on the production of organic acids and metabolism of ammonia by intestinal bacteria in a faecal incubation system. Br J Nutr. 1990;63(1):17-26.
- 47. Agostini L; Down PF; Murison J; Wrong OM. Faecal ammonia and pH during lactulose administration in man: comparison with other cathartics. Gut. 1972;13(11):859-66.
- 48. Weber FL, Jr. The effect of lactulose on urea metabolism and nitrogen excretion in cirrhotic patients. Gastroenterology. 1979;77(3):518-23.

- 49. Bird SP; Hewitt D; Ratcliffe B; Gurr MI. Effects of lactulose and lactitol on protein digestion and metabolism in conventional and germ free animal models: relevance of the results to their use in the treatment of portosystemic encephalopathy. Gut. 1990;31(12):1403-6.
- 50. Avery GS; Davies EF; Brogden RN. Lactulose: a review of its therapeutic and pharmacological properties with particular reference to ammonia metabolism and its mode of action of portal systemic encephalopathy. Drugs. 1972;4(1):7-48.
- 51. Moratalla A; Ampuero J; Bellot P; Gallego-Durán R; Zapater P; Roger M, et al. Lactulose reduces bacterial DNA translocation, which worsens neurocognitive shape in cirrhotic patients with minimal hepatic encephalopathy. Liver Int. 2017;37(2):212-23.
- 52. Wang JY; Bajaj JS; Wang JB; Shang J; Zhou XM; Guo XL, et al. Lactulose improves cognition, quality of life, and gut microbiota in minimal hepatic encephalopathy: A multicenter, randomized controlled trial. J Dig Dis. 2019;20(10):547-56.
- 53. Wiest R; Albillos A; Trauner M; Bajaj J; Jalan R. 'Targeting the gut-liver axis in liver disease'. Journal of hepatology. 2017.
- 54. Bajaj J; Miller K; Tan J; Lawrence J; Mittleman R; Meehan B. Microbiome Metabolic Therapies Reduce Microbiota-Associated Ammonia in Ex Vivo Fecal Samples From Healthy Subjects and Patients With Minimal Hepatic Encephalopathy and Demonstrate Improved Tolerability Over Lactulose in a Clinical Study. The Digital International Liver Congress™2020.
- 55. Hill C; Guarner F; Reid G; Gibson GR; Merenstein DJ; Pot B, et al. Expert consensus document. The International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics consensus statement on the scope and appropriate use of the term probiotic. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2014;11(8):506-14.
- 56. Bajaj JS; Saeian K; Christensen KM; Hafeezullah M; Varma RR; Franco J, et al. Probiotic yogurt for the treatment of minimal hepatic encephalopathy. Am J Gastroenterol. 2008;103(7):1707-15.
- 57. Sharma K; Pant S; Misra S; Dwivedi M; Misra A; Narang S, et al. Effect of rifaximin, probiotics, and I-ornithine I-aspartate on minimal hepatic encephalopathy: a randomized controlled trial. Saudi J Gastroenterol. 2014;20(4):225-32.
- 58. Horvath A; Leber B; Schmerboeck B; Tawdrous M; Zettel G; Hartl A, et al. Randomised clinical trial: the effects of a multispecies probiotic vs. placebo on innate immune function, bacterial translocation and gut permeability in patients with cirrhosis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2016;44(9):926-35.
- 59. Mennigen R; Nolte K; Rijcken E; Utech M; Loeffler B; Senninger N, et al. Probiotic mixture VSL#3 protects the epithelial barrier by maintaining tight junction protein expression and preventing apoptosis in a murine model of colitis. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2009;296(5):G1140-9.
- 60. Ukena SN; Singh A; Dringenberg U; Engelhardt R; Seidler U; Hansen W, et al. Probiotic Escherichia coli Nissle 1917 inhibits leaky gut by enhancing mucosal integrity. PLoS One. 2007;2(12):e1308.
- 61. Cano PG; Santacruz A; Trejo FM; Sanz Y. Bifidobacterium CECT 7765 improves metabolic and immunological alterations associated with obesity in high-fat diet-fed mice. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2013;21(11):2310-21.

- 62. Nanji AA; Khettry U; Sadrzadeh SM. Lactobacillus feeding reduces endotoxemia and severity of experimental alcoholic liver (disease). Proc Soc Exp Biol Med. 1994;205(3):243-7.
- 63. Chen RC; Xu LM; Du SJ; Huang SS; Wu H; Dong JJ, et al. Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG supernatant promotes intestinal barrier function, balances Treg and TH17 cells and ameliorates hepatic injury in a mouse model of chronic-binge alcohol feeding. Toxicol Lett. 2016;241:103-10.
- 64. Roselli M; Finamore A; Nuccitelli S; Carnevali P; Brigidi P; Vitali B, et al. Prevention of TNBS-induced colitis by different Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium strains is associated with an expansion of gammadeltaT and regulatory T cells of intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2009;15(10):1526-36.
- 65. Stadlbauer V; Mookerjee RP; Hodges S; Wright GA; Davies NA; Jalan R. Effect of probiotic treatment on deranged neutrophil function and cytokine responses in patients with compensated alcoholic cirrhosis. J Hepatol. 2008;48(6):945-51.
- 66. Gupta N; Kumar A; Sharma P; Garg V; Sharma BC; Sarin SK. Effects of the adjunctive probiotic VSL#3 on portal haemodynamics in patients with cirrhosis and large varices: a randomized trial. Liver Int. 2013;33(8):1148-57.
- 67. Khoruts A; Hoffmann DE; Britton RA. Probiotics: Promise, Evidence, and Hope. Gastroenterology. 2020;159(2):409-13.
- 68. Ainsworth C. Therapeutic microbes to tackle disease. Nature. England2020. p. S20-s2.
- 69. Kurtz CB; Millet YA; Puurunen MK; Perreault M; Charbonneau MR; Isabella VM, et al. An engineered E. coli Nissle improves hyperammonemia and survival in mice and shows dosedependent exposure in healthy humans. Sci Transl Med. 2019;11(475).
- 70. Bloom P; Donlan J; Torres Soto M; Bloom J; Scherrer A; Xavier R, et al. Oral FMT Capsules Improve Cognition in Patients with Persistent Hepatic Encephalopathy. American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases 2019.
- 71. Bajaj JS; Fagan A; Gavis EA; Kassam Z; Sikaroodi M; Gillevet PM. Long-term Outcomes of Fecal Microbiota Transplantation in Patients With Cirrhosis. Gastroenterology. 2019;156(6):1921-3.e3.
- 72. Dethlefsen L; Huse S; Sogin ML; Relman DA. The pervasive effects of an antibiotic on the human gut microbiota, as revealed by deep 16S rRNA sequencing. PLoS Biol. 2008;6(11):e280.
- 73. Lankelma JM; Belzer C; Hoogendijk AJ; de Vos AF; de Vos WM; van der Poll T, et al. Antibiotic-Induced Gut Microbiota Disruption Decreases TNF- α Release by Mononuclear Cells in Healthy Adults. Clin Transl Gastroenterol. 2016;7(8):e186.
- 74. van Nood E; Vrieze A; Nieuwdorp M; Fuentes S; Zoetendal EG; de Vos WM, et al. Duodenal infusion of donor feces for recurrent Clostridium difficile. The New England journal of medicine. 2013;368(5):407-15.
- 75. Ishikawa D; Sasaki T; Osada T; Kuwahara-Arai K; Haga K; Shibuya T, et al. Changes in Intestinal Microbiota Following Combination Therapy with Fecal Microbial Transplantation and Antibiotics for Ulcerative Colitis. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2017;23(1):116-25.
- 76. DeFilipp Z; Bloom PP; Torres Soto M; Mansour MK; Sater MRA; Huntley MH, et al. Drug-Resistant E. coli Bacteremia Transmitted by Fecal Microbiota Transplant. The New England journal of medicine. 2019.
- 77. Pringle PL; Soto MT; Chung RT; Hohmann E. Patients With Cirrhosis Require More Fecal Microbiota Capsules to Cure Refractory and Recurrent Clostridium difficile Infections. Clinical

- gastroenterology and hepatology: the official clinical practice journal of the American Gastroenterological Association. 2018.
- 78. Liu R; Kang JD; Sartor RB; Sikaroodi M; Fagan A; Gavis EA, et al. Neuroinflammation in Murine Cirrhosis Is Dependent on the Gut Microbiome and Is Attenuated by Fecal Transplant. Hepatology. 2020;71(2):611-26.
- 79. Bajaj JS; Salzman N; Acharya C; Takei H; Kakiyama G; Fagan A, et al. Microbial functional change is linked with clinical outcomes after capsular fecal transplant in cirrhosis. JCI Insight. 2019;4(24).
- 80. Woodhouse CA; Patel VC; Goldenberg S; Sanchez-Fueyo A; China L; O'Brien A, et al. PROFIT, a PROspective, randomised placebo controlled feasibility trial of Faecal microbiota Transplantation in cirrhosis: study protocol for a single-blinded trial. BMJ Open. 2019;9(2):e023518.
- 81. Woodhouse C; Edwards L; Mullish B; Kronsten V; Tranah T; Zamalloa A, et al. Results of the PROFIT trial, a PROspective randomised placebo-controlled feasibility trial of Faecal microbiota Transplantation in advanced cirrhosis. EASL2020.
- 82. Malaguarnera M; Greco F; Barone G; Gargante MP; Toscano MA. Bifidobacterium longum with fructo-oligosaccharide (FOS) treatment in minimal hepatic encephalopathy: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Dig Dis Sci. 2007;52(11):3259-65.
- 83. Liu Q; Duan ZP; Ha DK; Bengmark S; Kurtovic J; Riordan SM. Synbiotic modulation of gut flora: effect on minimal hepatic encephalopathy in patients with cirrhosis. Hepatology. 2004;39(5):1441-9.
- 84. Kimer N; Krag A; Møller S; Bendtsen F; Gluud LL. Systematic review with meta-analysis: the effects of rifaximin in hepatic encephalopathy. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2014;40(2):123-32.
- 85. Bajaj JS; Heuman DM; Sanyal AJ; Hylemon PB; Sterling RK; Stravitz RT, et al. Modulation of the metabiome by rifaximin in patients with cirrhosis and minimal hepatic encephalopathy. PloS one. 2013;8(4):e60042.
- 86. Sidhu SS; Goyal O; Mishra BP; Sood A; Chhina RS; Soni RK. Rifaximin improves psychometric performance and health-related quality of life in patients with minimal hepatic encephalopathy (the RIME Trial). Am J Gastroenterol. 2011;106(2):307-16.
- 87. DuPont HL; Jiang ZD; Ericsson CD; Adachi JA; Mathewson JJ; DuPont MW, et al. Rifaximin versus ciprofloxacin for the treatment of traveler's diarrhea: a randomized, double-blind clinical trial. Clin Infect Dis. 2001;33(11):1807-15.
- 88. DuPont HL. Biologic properties and clinical uses of rifaximin. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2011;12(2):293-302.
- 89. Kang DJ; Kakiyama G; Betrapally NS; Herzog J; Nittono H; Hylemon PB, et al. Rifaximin Exerts Beneficial Effects Independent of its Ability to Alter Microbiota Composition. Clin Transl Gastroenterol. 2016;7(8):e187.
- 90. Schulz C; Schütte K; Vilchez-Vargas R; Vasapolli R; Malfertheiner P. Long-Term Effect of Rifaximin with and without Lactulose on the Active Bacterial Assemblages in the Proximal Small Bowel and Faeces in Patients with Minimal Hepatic Encephalopathy. Dig Dis. 2019;37(2):161-9.
- 91. Caraceni P; Vargas V; Solà E; Alessandria C; de Wit K; Trebicka J, et al. The use of Rifaximin in Patients with Cirrhosis. Hepatology. 2021.

- 92. Wall R; Marques TM; O'Sullivan O; Ross RP; Shanahan F; Quigley EM, et al. Contrasting effects of Bifidobacterium breve NCIMB 702258 and Bifidobacterium breve DPC 6330 on the composition of murine brain fatty acids and gut microbiota. Am J Clin Nutr. 2012;95(5):1278-87.
- 93. Xu D; Gao J; Gillilland M, 3rd; Wu X; Song I; Kao JY, et al. Rifaximin alters intestinal bacteria and prevents stress-induced gut inflammation and visceral hyperalgesia in rats. Gastroenterology. 2014;146(2):484-96.e4.
- 94. Maccaferri S; Vitali B; Klinder A; Kolida S; Ndagijimana M; Laghi L, et al. Rifaximin modulates the colonic microbiota of patients with Crohn's disease: an in vitro approach using a continuous culture colonic model system. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2010;65(12):2556-65.
- 95. Soldi S; Vasileiadis S; Uggeri F; Campanale M; Morelli L; Fogli MV, et al. Modulation of the gut microbiota composition by rifaximin in non-constipated irritable bowel syndrome patients: a molecular approach. Clin Exp Gastroenterol. 2015;8:309-25.
- 96. Fiorucci S; Distrutti E; Mencarelli A; Barbanti M; Palazzini E; Morelli A. Inhibition of intestinal bacterial translocation with rifaximin modulates lamina propria monocytic cells reactivity and protects against inflammation in a rodent model of colitis. Digestion. 2002;66(4):246-56.
- 97. Acosta A; Camilleri M; Shin A; Linker Nord S; O'Neill J; Gray AV, et al. Effects of Rifaximin on Transit, Permeability, Fecal Microbiome, and Organic Acid Excretion in Irritable Bowel Syndrome. Clin Transl Gastroenterol. 2016;7(5):e173.
- 98. Peleman C; Camilleri M. Rifaximin, Microbiota Biology, and Hepatic Encephalopathy. Clin Transl Gastroenterol2016. p. e195.
- 99. Jiang ZD; Ke S; Dupont HL. Rifaximin-induced alteration of virulence of diarrhoea-producing Escherichia coli and Shigella sonnei. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2010;35(3):278-81.
- 100. Brown EL; Xue Q; Jiang ZD; Xu Y; Dupont HL. Pretreatment of epithelial cells with rifaximin alters bacterial attachment and internalization profiles. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2010;54(1):388-96.
- 101. Ricci A; Coppo E; Barbieri R; Debbia EA; Marchese A. The effect of sub-inhibitory concentrations of rifaximin on urease production and on other virulence factors expressed by Klebsiella pneumoniae, Proteus mirabilis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus. J Chemother. 2017;29(2):67-73.
- 102. Mas A; Rodés J; Sunyer L; Rodrigo L; Planas R; Vargas V, et al. Comparison of rifaximin and lactitol in the treatment of acute hepatic encephalopathy: results of a randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, controlled clinical trial. J Hepatol. 2003;38(1):51-8.
- 103. Pedretti G; Calzetti C; Missale G; Fiaccadori F. Rifaximin versus neomycin on hyperammoniemia in chronic portal systemic encephalopathy of cirrhotics. A double-blind, randomized trial. Ital J Gastroenterol. 1991;23(4):175-8.
- 104. Strauss E; Tramote R; Silva EP; Caly WR; Honain NZ; Maffei RA, et al. Double-blind randomized clinical trial comparing neomycin and placebo in the treatment of exogenous hepatic encephalopathy. Hepatogastroenterology. 1992;39(6):542-5.
- 105. Garcovich M; Zocco MA; Roccarina D; Ponziani FR; Gasbarrini A. Prevention and treatment of hepatic encephalopathy: focusing on gut microbiota. World J Gastroenterol. 2012;18(46):6693-700.
- 106. Phongsamran PV; Kim JW; Cupo Abbott J; Rosenblatt A. Pharmacotherapy for hepatic encephalopathy. Drugs. 2010;70(9):1131-48.

- 107. Als-Nielsen B; Gluud LL; Gluud C. Nonabsorbable disaccharides for hepatic encephalopathy. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2004;(2)(2):CD003044.
- 108. Abd-Elsalam S; El-Kalla F; Elwan N; Badawi R; Hawash N; Soliman S, et al. A Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing Nitazoxanide Plus Lactulose With Lactulose Alone in Treatment of Overt Hepatic Encephalopathy. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2019;53(3):226-30.
- 109. Venturini AP. Pharmacokinetics of L/105, a new rifamycin, in rats and dogs, after oral administration. Chemotherapy. 1983;29(1):1-3.
- 110. Jiang ZD; DuPont HL. Rifaximin: in vitro and in vivo antibacterial activity--a review. Chemotherapy. 2005;51 Suppl 1:67-72.
- 111. Descombe JJ; Dubourg D; Picard M; Palazzini E. Pharmacokinetic study of rifaximin after oral administration in healthy volunteers. Int J Clin Pharmacol Res. 1994;14(2):51-6.
- 112. Duan Y; Llorente C; Lang S; Brandl K; Chu H; Jiang L, et al. Bacteriophage targeting of gut bacterium attenuates alcoholic liver disease. Nature. 2019;575(7783):505-11.
- 113. Bajaj JS; Sikaroodi M; Shamsaddini A; Henseler Z; Santiago-Rodriguez T; Acharya C, et al. Interaction of bacterial metagenome and virome in patients with cirrhosis and hepatic encephalopathy. Gut. 2020.
- 114. Morrison DJ; Preston T. Formation of short chain fatty acids by the gut microbiota and their impact on human metabolism. Gut Microbes. 2016;7(3):189-200.
- 115. Park J; Kim M; Kang SG; Jannasch AH; Cooper B; Patterson J, et al. Short-chain fatty acids induce both effector and regulatory T cells by suppression of histone deacetylases and regulation of the mTOR-S6K pathway. Mucosal Immunol. 2015;8(1):80-93.
- 116. Tsilingiri K; Barbosa T; Penna G; Caprioli F; Sonzogni A; Viale G, et al. Probiotic and postbiotic activity in health and disease: comparison on a novel polarised ex-vivo organ culture model. Gut. 2012;61(7):1007-15.
- 117. Beards E; Tuohy K; Gibson G. Bacterial, SCFA and gas profiles of a range of food ingredients following in vitro fermentation by human colonic microbiota. Anaerobe. 2010;16(4):420-5.
- 118. Mortensen PB; Clausen MR. Short-chain fatty acids in the human colon: relation to gastrointestinal health and disease. Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl. 1996;216:132-48.
- 119. Abbasi A; Hajipour N; Hasannezhad P; Baghbanzadeh A; Aghebati-Maleki L. Potential in vivo delivery routes of postbiotics. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2020:1-39.
- 120. Lührs H; Gerke T; Müller JG; Melcher R; Schauber J; Boxberge F, et al. Butyrate inhibits NF-kappaB activation in lamina propria macrophages of patients with ulcerative colitis. Scand J Gastroenterol. 2002;37(4):458-66.
- 121. Roda A; Simoni P; Magliulo M; Nanni P; Baraldini M; Roda G, et al. A new oral formulation for the release of sodium butyrate in the ileo-cecal region and colon. World J Gastroenterol. 2007;13(7):1079-84.
- 122. Golob JL; DeMeules MM; Loeffelholz T; Quinn ZZ; Dame MK; Silvestri SS, et al. Butyrogenic bacteria after acute graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) are associated with the development of steroid-refractory GVHD. Blood Adv. 2019;3(19):2866-9.
- 123. Bosoi CR; Parent-Robitaille C; Anderson K; Tremblay M; Rose CF. AST-120 (spherical carbon adsorbent) lowers ammonia levels and attenuates brain edema in bile duct-ligated rats. Hepatology. 2011;53(6):1995-2002.

- 124. Zacharias HD; Zacharias AP; Gluud LL; Morgan MY. Pharmacotherapies that specifically target ammonia for the prevention and treatment of hepatic encephalopathy in adults with cirrhosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2019;6(6):Cd012334.
- 125. R J. Safety and Tolerability of Yaq-001 in Patients with Cirrhosis. ClinicalTrials.gov; [cited 2020].
- 126. Fassarella M; Blaak EE; Penders J; Nauta A; Smidt H; Zoetendal EG. Gut microbiome stability and resilience: elucidating the response to perturbations in order to modulate gut health. Gut. 2020.
- 127. Fernández J; Prado V; Trebicka J; Amoros A; Gustot T; Wiest R, et al. Multidrug-resistant bacterial infections in patients with decompensated cirrhosis and with acute-on-chronic liver failure in Europe. J Hepatol. 2019;70(3):398-411.
- 128. Mücke MM; Mayer A; Kessel J; Mücke VT; Bon D; Schwarzkopf K, et al. Quinolone and Multidrug Resistance Predicts Failure of Antibiotic Prophylaxis of Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;70(9):1916-24.
- 129. Ramos JM; Vidal I; Bellot P; Gómez-Hurtado I; Zapater P; Such J. Comparison of the in vitro susceptibility of rifaximin versus norfloxacin against multidrug resistant bacteria in a hospital setting. A proof-of-concept study for use in advanced cirrhosis. Gut. England2016. p. 182-3.
- 130. Gilbert JA; Blaser MJ; Caporaso JG; Jansson JK; Lynch SV; Knight R. Current understanding of the human microbiome. Nat Med. 2018;24(4):392-400.
- 131. Zellmer C; Sater MRA; Huntley MH; Osman M; Olesen SW; Ramakrishna B. Shiga Toxin-Producing Escherichia coli Transmission via Fecal Microbiota Transplant. Clin Infect Dis. 2020.
- 132. Administration UFaD. Safety Alert Regarding Use of Fecal Microbiota for Transplantation and Risk of Serious Adverse Events Likely Due to Transmission of Pathogenic Organisms. 2020; Available from: https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/safety-availability-biologics/safety-alert-regarding-use-fecal-microbiota-transplantation-and-risk-serious-adverse-events-likely.
- 133. Marcella C; Cui B; Kelly CR; Ianiro G; Cammarota G; Zhang F. Systematic review: the global incidence of faecal microbiota transplantation-related adverse events from 2000 to 2020. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2021;53(1):33-42.
- 134. Zuo T; Liu Q; Zhang F; Lui GC; Tso EY; Yeoh YK, et al. Depicting SARS-CoV-2 faecal viral activity in association with gut microbiota composition in patients with COVID-19. Gut. 2021;70(2):276-84.
- 135. Ianiro G; Mullish BH; Kelly CR; Kassam Z; Kuijper EJ; Ng SC, et al. Reorganisation of faecal microbiota transplant services during the COVID-19 pandemic. Gut. 2020;69(9):1555-63.
- 136. Control CfD. Frequently asked questions for Coronavirus for laboratories. 2021 [01/11/2021]; Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/faqs.html#Specimen-Types.
- 137. Kipkorir V; Cheruiyot I; Ngure B; Misiani M; Munguti J. Prolonged SARS-CoV-2 RNA detection in anal/rectal swabs and stool specimens in COVID-19 patients after negative conversion in nasopharyngeal RT-PCR test. J Med Virol2020. p. 2328-31.
- 138. Craven LJ; Nair Parvathy S; Tat-Ko J; Burton JP; Silverman MS. Extended Screening Costs Associated With Selecting Donors for Fecal Microbiota Transplantation for Treatment of Metabolic Syndrome-Associated Diseases. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2017;4(4):ofx243.

- 139. Olesen SW; Gurry T; Alm EJ. Designing fecal microbiota transplant trials that account for differences in donor stool efficacy. Statistical methods in medical research. 2017:962280216688502.
- 140. Olesen SW. Fecal microbiota transplantation "donor effects" are not clinically relevant for Clostridioides difficile infection. Gastroenterology. 2020.
- 141. Costello SP; Hughes PA; Waters O; Bryant RV; Vincent AD; Blatchford P, et al. Effect of Fecal Microbiota Transplantation on 8-Week Remission in Patients With Ulcerative Colitis: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Jama. 2019;321(2):156-64.
- 142. Chu ND; Smith MB; Perrotta AR; Kassam Z; Alm EJ. Profiling Living Bacteria Informs Preparation of Fecal Microbiota Transplantations. PLoS One. 2017;12(1):e0170922.
- 143. Wang L; Llorente C; Hartmann P; Yang AM; Chen P; Schnabl B. Methods to determine intestinal permeability and bacterial translocation during liver disease. J Immunol Methods. 2015;421:44-53.

Journal Pre-proof TABLE 1: Potential Benefits and Limitations of Microbiome Therapies for Hepatic Encephalopathy

Therapy	Definition	Potential Benefits	Clinical Efficacy	Clinical Limitations
Target Microbes: I	ncrease potentially	beneficial taxa		
Prebiotic (e.g. lactulose)	Substrates selectively utilized by host microorganisms, conferring a health benefit	 Increase beneficial taxa abundance, short-chain fatty acids Inhibit pathogen growth (via lowering luminal pH) Stimulate mucus-producing goblet cells Improve tight junctions 	Two large meta- analyses show lactulose reverses minimal HE and prevents overt HE	Lactulose has undesirable side effects and prevents < 50% of recurrent overt HE
Probiotic	Living microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit	 Produce antimicrobial proteins, short-chain fatty acids, secondary bile acids Inhibits pathogen growth (via lowering luminal pH and decreasing available nutrients) Regulate immune response 	Low to moderate quality evidence show probiotics improve HE symptoms, reverse minimal HE, and lead to fewer overt HE episodes	Clinical data is low to moderate quality; many trials have hig risk of bias; different strains used in each trial
Engineered Probiotics	Genetically modified bacteria	Varies by genetic modification	SYNB1020 reduces blood ammonia in mice	First trial in cirrhosis failed
Fecal Microbiota Transplant (FMT)	Transfer of processed stool from healthy donor to recipient	 Decrease ammonia production, intestinal permeability Increase short-chain fatty acids, secondary bile acids, tight junction proteins, antimicrobial proteins 	Small single center trials suggest FMT improves cognition and reduces overt HE episodes	Limited data; supply and implementation challenges; inherent risk of uncharacterized components
Synbiotic	Probiotic and prebiotic in one therapy	Combined benefits of prebiotics and postbiotics	Limited supportive data	No evidence of synergistic benefit
Target Microbes: L	Decrease potentiall	y harmful taxa		
Antibiotics (e.g. rifaximin)	Treatment with anti-bacterial effects; target and effects vary by antibiotic	 Decrease pathogen abundance, gut inflammation, endotoxin Increase long-chain fatty acids, beneficial taxa, tight junction proteins 	High quality data that rifaximin reduces risk of overt HE; also improves cognition in MHE	High rate of overt HI recurrence despite rifaximin; other antibiotics have limiting side effects
Bacteriophages	Viruses that target bacteria	Eliminate specific pathogenic bacteria	No clinical efficacy data	No clinical efficacy data
Target Products of	f Microbes			
Postbiotic	Bioactive products of beneficial taxa	Increase tight junction proteins, mucin productionRegulate immune response	No clinical efficacy data	No clinical efficacy data
Absorbents	Synthetic molecules that absorb undesirable substances	Decrease serum ammonia, endotoxin, cytokines	AST-120 and Yaq- 001 with positive biological effects in rat model	AST-120 without clinical benefit in humans; Yaq-001 human data not available yet

HE denotes nepatic encephalopathy; FIVLL tecal microbiota transplant; IVIHE minimal nepatic encephalopathy

TABLE 2: Future Directions of Microbiome-Targeted Therapies for Hepatic Encephalopathy

	Future Directions		
Trial Design	 Patient selection: target specific groups in need of more effective and/or better tolerated intervention (e.g. at high risk of developing recurrent overt HE, or those who do not tolerate lactulose) Primary outcome selection: clinically important primary outcomes such as overt HE, cognitive function, quality of life, or other patient-reported outcomes (microbiome changes may be included as secondary outcome) Translational component: explore mechanism within clinical trials High rigor: minimize bias through blinding, randomization +/risk stratification; meet enrollment target to achieve adequate power for trial 		
Microbiome Therapy Selection	 Target gut segment: match route of administration to optimal gut segment (upper vs. lower intestine) for that mechanism Living biotherapeutics: select probiotic consortium with biological actions with potential to reverse HE, determine optimal dose and duration, assess need for antibiotic priming to ensure grafting Fecal microbiota transplant: determine characteristics of ideal donor, optimal dose regimen and preparation 		
Personalized approach	Patient enterotype: determine baseline microbiome characteristics to match appropriate microbiome therapy Biomarkers of response: identify other biomarkers predictive of response to microbiome therapies		

Brain Liver Gut





